

Catalysts for Lower Temperature Tail Gas Unit Operation

Stephen N. Massie, Business Manager, Gas Treating Catalysts
Charles E. Wilson, Technical Specialist, Shell Chemicals LP

Low Temperature Operation of Tail Gas Units with Criterion Catalysts

Introduction

Criterion Catalysts & Technologies, L.P. continues to assess the needs for improved products and processes. Well-operated Claus tail gas units are required for today's refineries and gas plants to meet strict environmental regulations for sulfur emissions. While providing 99.8+ percent recovery of sulfur, these units are costly to build and to operate. In many circumstances, hydrogenation catalysts that can operate at lower reactor inlet temperatures would allow for substantial savings in capital and operating expenditures. The actual operating expenditures are site and fuel cost dependent. Usually, tail gas units operate at reactor inlet temperatures in the 540° F (280° C) range.


Criterion offers the proven Criterion 234 catalyst that can operate at reactor inlet temperatures in the 435 – 460° F (225 – 240° C) range. Criterion 234 is a low density (28 lbs/ft³, 450 kg/m³) 3.2 mm extruded catalyst. A catalyst in the development stage, Criterion 734, can be operated at reactor inlet temperatures in the 390° F (200° C) range.

Criterion has offered its Criterion 099 incineration catalyst to the industry for a number of years. This catalyst is selective for the oxidation of sulfur compounds to sulfur dioxide (SO₂) while minimizing the formation of sulfur trioxide (SO₃). This catalyst operates at much lower temperatures than does thermal incineration.

When the low temperature tail gas catalysts are coupled with catalytic incineration, substantial savings may be realized in fuel costs.

The Tail Gas Treating Process

Reductive tail gas units on Claus plants increase the sulfur recovery from about 96 – 97 percent to about 99.8 – 99.9 percent. The Claus reaction,



*Brimstone Sulfur Recovery Symposium
Vail, Colorado
September 13-16, 2005*

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 1 The Tail Gas Treating Process
- 2 Catalysts for Lower Temperature Operation – Criterion 234
- 3 Catalysts for Lower Temperature Operation – The New Criterion 734
- 3 Opportunities for Improved Economics
- 4 Conclusion
- 5 Acknowledgements
- 5 References

is equilibrium limited, so the tail gas exiting the process contains levels of SO_2 and H_2S dictated by that equilibrium. The tail gas also contains uncondensed sulfur because the sulfur is in equilibrium with the gas phase at the temperature of the sulfur condenser. In addition, any hydrocarbon that enters the Claus process is converted to carbonyl sulfide (COS) and carbon disulfide (CS_2).


Claus Tail Gas Units (TGUs) that utilize catalytic reduction and hydrolysis over Criterion 534 or Criterion 234 catalysts to provide for the optimum overall recovery of sulfur in refineries and gas plants. These units are characterized as "Best Available Control Technology" (BACT) because they recover 99.8 – 99.9+ percent or more of the sulfur that enters the Claus plant as hydrogen sulfide feed.

These tail gas units, when equipped with the proper catalysts, will successfully convert all of the sulfur compounds in the Claus tail gas to hydrogen sulfide. H_2S is efficiently picked up by the downstream amine systems so the H_2S can be recycled to the Claus process. To achieve 99.8+ percent recovery of the sulfur, the catalyst must be effective in facilitating a number of reactions.

Sulfur dioxide must be reduced:



Sulfur vapor must be reduced:



Because of its relatively high concentration and high heat of reaction, the reduction of sulfur dioxide is the primary source of the heat release seen in the tail gas reduction reactor catalyst bed. In general, this reaction, and the reduction of sulfur vapor are easy to catalyze.

Carbonyl sulfide and carbon disulfide are formed in the Claus process from unavoidable hydrocarbon impurities. These two compounds are neutral, and are not captured in downstream amine systems. These compounds must be hydrolyzed to H_2S ; otherwise, they are converted to SO_2 in the incinerator and escape to the atmosphere:



These conversions must be accomplished without the formation of unwanted sulfur – containing compounds such as methyl mercaptan. Methyl mercaptan is also neutral and will pass through the amine system and would be oxidized in the incinerator to SO_2 .

Catalysts for Lower Temperature Operation – Criterion 234

Commercial Operation

It has been standard practice to run the tail gas unit hydro-generation reactor inlet temperature at about 540° F (280° C). However, it has been shown in the two tail gas units of Motiva's Port Arthur, Texas, refinery that the inlet temperature for reactors loaded with Criterion 234 cobalt – molybdenum catalyst operate well at about 464° F (240° C). These two units have run at this temperature range for over four years, and the emissions from these units remained in the 10 – 20 percent range of the strict New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) sulfur emissions limit. This excellent performance is achieved without the use of expensive carbonyl sulfide conversion catalysts in the Claus reactors and without mercaptan formation.

The catalyst was originally sulfided at a maximum temperature of about 464° F (240° C). Therefore, no special sulfiding procedures are required.

Laboratory Testing

Pilot-plant studies had demonstrated that the inlet temperature on such reactors could even be reduced further to the 430° F (220° C) range. With this evidence that inlet temperatures even lower than 465° F (240° C) could be utilized for satisfactory performance of the catalyst, the technical staff at Motiva agreed to further testing of one of their refinery tail gas units at reduced temperatures. It was specified that the testing would not allow the SO_2 level in the incinerator stack to approach the regulated limit of 250 ppm.

Testing on Refinery Unit

Testing was undertaken on the Motiva tail gas unit reactors when the catalyst's on-stream time was approaching five years. In the first series of tests, the inlet temperature to the tail gas reactor was systematically lowered from 465° F (240° C) to 450° F (232° C) and then to 435° F (224° C). During the entire test series, the stack SO_2 remained in the 22 – 28 ppm range, which is only about 10 percent of the permitted maximum.

In the second series of tests, greater detail was sought in the reactor inlet and outlet analyses. At that time, the sour water stripper was upset with hydrocarbons, and the stack analyses were measuring at about 55 ppm of SO_2 , approximately 20 percent of the allowable emissions. The reactor inlet temperature was 465° F (240° C) at the start of the testing. After samples for analysis were collected,




Figure 1

the inlet temperature was decreased to 435° F (224° C) and then increased to 450° F (232° C). At no time did the stack SO₂ emissions increase to over 60 ppm, thereby demonstrating excellent COS hydrolysis and SO₂ conversion. (See Figure 1.)

Catalysts for Lower Temperature Operation – The New Criterion 734

Recent investigations have led to the development of an entirely new tail gas catalyst, Criterion 734. This catalyst is so active that it has been shown to operate at reactor inlet temperatures in the range of 390° F (200° C) or even lower. Again, COS hydrolysis activity is excellent, and no expensive COS hydrolysis catalyst is required in the Claus process reactors. No mercaptans are formed.

Opportunities for Improved Economics

Opportunities for Tail Gas Process Savings in Existing Fired Units

In existing tail gas units equipped with either in-line burners or indirect heaters, substantial fuel savings can be realized by merely operating at lower temperatures. The amount of savings is related directly to the cost of fuel. One European client with a reducing gas generator burner has calculated that he will save 3500 Euro per year for each cubic meter of Criterion 234 that he has installed. This reduction in operating cost is accomplished by merely operating at 465° F (240° C) instead of 536° F (280° C) reactor inlet temperature. For this customer, the entire cost of the catalyst is paid back in less than two years by merely operating at a lower temperature.

Of course, operating at even lower reactor inlet temperatures saves more fuel and cost.

Opportunities for Tail Gas Process Savings in New Units

The reductive tail gas processes usually include the following primary components:

- In-line Heater
- Catalytic Reactor
- Waste Heat Boiler/Product Cooler
- Quench Tower
- Amine System

In the TGU, the tail gas is typically heated in a gas-fired reducing gas generator (RGG) burner prior to entering the reactor.

With the success of this lower temperature catalyst, consideration could be given to the design of new units with less costly components. For example, TGUs could be designed with indirect heaters that use steam generated in the Claus reaction furnace boilers. In addition, reactor outlet temperatures are low enough to be handled easily by the quench tower. This then allows omission of the waste heat boiler.

In new designs and in existing units, the fuel savings must be balanced by the value of the steam that is generated by operating at higher temperatures with a waste heat boiler. If that steam has value, then it must be replaced. However, low temperature steam of this quality is usually in abundance in refineries and gas plants.

Opportunities for Tail Gas Process Savings in "Retrofit" Units

With the success that Criterion 234 catalyst has shown operating at lower reactor inlet temperatures, it is now possible to consider using existing heaters and reactors from other, less effective tail gas technologies as the basis of improved sulfur recovery. Consideration could also be given to converting the last stage Claus reactors and existing heaters to tail gas units. Adding an amine system to capture and recycle the hydrogen sulfide would be required.

Expectations of Sulfur Plant and Tail Gas Unit Operation

What are the attributes of a successful operation? Here are some:

- Meets Environmental Permit!
- Allows High Throughput
- Trouble-free Operation
- The Operation and Catalyst are Robust, not Impacted by Minor Upsets.
- The Process and Catalyst have a Long Cycle Length

Pressure Drop Considerations

Among tail gas unit catalysts in common use, Criterion 234 3.2 mm Trilobe tail gas catalyst is second only to Criterion 534 catalyst in giving the lowest pressure drop. Criterion 734 catalyst is a 4.0-millimeter sphere and enjoys the same low pressure drop as does Criterion 534. (See Figure 2.)




Figure 2

Catalyst pressure drop is extremely important in tail gas units. The sulfur plant and tail gas unit operate at atmospheric pressure, with only about five pounds of pressure drop across the entire system including three Claus catalyst beds, the tail gas catalyst bed, all piping, towers, and heat exchangers. Any increase in pressure drop across these processes carries severe penalties in lost capacity for sulfur handling. In the worse case, crude oil or natural gas must be backed out of the front of the refinery or gas plant, with substantial decrease in the amount of products available for sale.

Criterion 234 Catalyst Usage

Criterion 234 catalyst has found wide acceptance in the world. Over four million pounds of this catalyst have been installed. It is in use in the largest tail gas unit in the United States, the largest SCOT unit in the United States, and in many refineries. It has been selected for use in the very large SCOT tail gas units licensed to a large new refinery in the Middle East. This catalyst has also been operated in a large gas plant at reactor inlet temperatures as low as 470 – 475° F (243 – 246° C), in addition to the Motiva Port Arthur work reported here.

Conclusion

Energy costs money. If you must burn a fuel to increase the process temperature to where the reaction will proceed, then simply, it costs less money to heat to a lower temperature. Less fuel burned means less greenhouse gases generated. If instead it is possible to utilize energy for process heating that is recovered from elsewhere, then even greater savings are realized.

If Criterion tail gas catalysts, which require less heat, are utilized, money is saved. It is also possible to make equipment changes in new tail gas plant installations so less capital is required.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the following individuals for their valuable assistance:

Jason Blusius, Motiva Enterprises' Port Arthur Refinery
Monte King, Motiva Enterprises' Port Arthur Refinery
Harald Eng, Shell Global Solutions
Jacques deBruijn, Shell Global Solutions
Mike Huffmaster, Shell Global Solutions

References

Bloemendal, Gerrit and Ticheler, Ellen, "Low Temperature SCOT Catalyst Pays Off," *Hydrocarbon Engineering*, 9, 51 – 54, Dec. 2004.

Harryman, John M., "The SCOT Process," 1994 Sulfur Recovery Symposium, Vail, Colorado, 1994.

Massie, Stephen N., "Catalysts for Use in Tail Gas Units," 1996 Sulfur Recovery Symposium, Vail, Colorado, 1996.

Massie, Stephen N., "Potential Problems in the Operation of Tail Gas Units," 51st Annual Laurance Reid Gas Conditioning Conference, Norman, Oklahoma, 2001.

Massie, Stephen N. and Wilson, Charles E., "Monitoring of Tail Gas Units for Proper Operation," 2003 Sulfur Recovery Symposium, Vail, Colorado, 2003.

Robertson, Kevin; Stern, Lon; and Massie, Steve, "Tail Gas Start Up and Shut Down Guidelines and Tips," 2000 Sulfur Recovery Symposium, Vail, Colorado, 2000.

Scott, Bruce, "Tail Gas Treating – a Brief Overview," 1994 Sulfur Recovery Symposium, Vail, Colorado, 1994.

van Son, Marco, "The Shell Claus Offgas Treating Process LT SCOT Developments," Brimstone Sulfur Recovery Symposium, Banff, Alberta, 2005.